REMARKS

Claim 16 is a "new" claim entered in the amendment dated

November 24, 2004. Its intended purpose is to recite the use of
a plurality of Peltier Cells that occupy a substantial area,
compared with claim 10 (its parent) which recites only one.

The instant problem is that as previously written it recites Peltier Cells, but the antecedent is only a single cell. What is missing and was intended in claim 16 is the recitation of a "plurality" of these cells. By this amendment this unintentional oversight is corrected.

As to support, claim 16 was intended to track the cancelled claim 6, which did recite the plurality. There is full support for it in the specification and in a previous original claim for the proposed amendment. This amendment does not change the scope or meaning of the specification, and as to claim 16, it does not expand the scope of the claim beyond its obviously-intended measure, in view of the previous claims of the same nature.

Entry of this amendment under the provisions of Rule 312, and reconsideration to the extent required for this purpose, are respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald D. Mon

Jonan Mh

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 18,255

DDM:gk (626)793-9173